

Examiner's Report Principal Examiner Feedback

Summer 2018

Pearson Edexcel International Advanced Subsidiary In History (WHI01) Paper 1C Depth Study with Interpretations Germany, 1918-45



https://xtremepape.rs/

Edexcel and BTEC Qualifications

Edexcel and BTEC qualifications are awarded by Pearson, the UK's largest awarding body. We provide a wide range of qualifications including academic, vocational, occupational and specific programmes for employers. For further information visit our qualifications websites at <u>www.edexcel.com</u> or <u>www.btec.co.uk</u>. Alternatively, you can get in touch with us using the details on our contact us page at <u>www.edexcel.com/contactus</u>.

Pearson: helping people progress, everywhere

Pearson aspires to be the world's leading learning company. Our aim is to help everyone progress in their lives through education. We believe in every kind of learning, for all kinds of people, wherever they are in the world. We've been involved in education for over 150 years, and by working across 70 countries, in 100 languages, we have built an international reputation for our commitment to high standards and raising achievement through innovation in education. Find out more about how we can help you and your students at: <u>www.pearson.com/uk</u>

Summer 2018 Publications Code WHI01_1C_pef_20180815 All the material in this publication is copyright © Pearson Education Ltd 2018 It is important that centres take on board some generic comments which are based on the marking of this summer's cohort, and consider and apply these when preparing candidates for future examinations in these options.

- WHI01 is both a study in depth and a study of interpretations, and it is necessary for candidates to do both, at all levels in the mark scheme, in order to score marks. Ignoring the stated view in the question, and merely writing information that may be relevant to the general focus of the question does not fully meet the criteria for Level 1, and consequently none of the other levels. Even at Level 1 the mark scheme expects simple or generalised consideration of the stated view in the question. Some candidates paid very little attention to the stated view (ignoring it completely or sometimes only referring to it in the conclusion) and narrated or described other information that was either relevant or not to the actual question. This was particularly evident in question 2, as will be referenced below.
- Across all of the options, in candidate responses, there was very little evidence seen of planning. As the examination is two hours long, implying that candidates might divide that time equally between the two essays they choose, it would seem sensible to devote some time (possibly no more than 10 minutes per question) to planning the structure of the answer to each question. That would hopefully ensure that when the answer is written the stated view is considered (Level 2, 3 and 4 all require, to varying degrees, understanding, analysis and exploration of the given view) and then other factors/views can follow, which will then allow the candidate to establish some criteria by which they are able to consider the importance, or not, of the given view and make some judgements. Those candidates who planned (this appeared on their examination script before they answered the question) invariably scored better than candidates who had not planned. Planned answers tended to score at the top of Level 3 and into, and including the top of Level 4, whereas unplanned answers meandered and judgements tended to be stated, rather than supported by valid criteria, and often achieved marks at the Level 2 and Level 3 boundary or below.
- The need to stress to candidates that in examination situations they must read the question carefully, and not take the question as an opportunity to write all they know about the topic, or answer a question they would have preferred that is near to the actual question, but not the actual question.
- There was some evidence of candidates running out of time, but they were very few. Impressing the need to plan essays in the examination is surely the remedy to this problem.

Option 1C Germany 1918-45

- This paper had the largest number of candidate entries across all four WHI01 papers.
- Question 3 proved to be the most popular.
- While question 1 was popular it was answered in a variety of ways. Some candidates were very clear about what the Constitution was and the impact it had on the Republic in relation to stability, and they then judged that against other factors. Many candidates were well versed in the other factors that impacted on the Republic's stability but knew little about the Constitution and it's impact. Some candidates confused the Constitution with the Treaty of Versailles. Reference to the Constitution is clearly made in the specification, and should be taught in relation to the Weimar Republic.
- In question 2 candidates either knew about the social reforms enacted by the Weimar Republic or they didn't. That would seem to suggest it is a teaching issue as it is clearly referenced in the specification. Many candidates suggested that social reform included the Locarno Pact and the Kellog-Briand Pact. Some candidates saw social reform as cultural reform and while this was accounted for and rewarded to an extent, it was not exactly what had been anticipated. Some candidates ignored social reform completely and listed other achievements of the Weimar Republic. However, there were candidates who had clearly been taught about specific social reform under the Weimar, and they judged this against other achievements, with many suggesting that the Weimar was ahead of its time in relation to the social reform it did enact.
- In question 3 some candidates described what the Nazi did in regard to the economy without really getting to the heart of whether or not they were successful. However, there were many who were able to make judgments about success. The example below gained the highest mark in Level 4. The key issue is explored, sufficient knowledge is effectively deployed and valid criteria are established and used in making a judgement.

(This page is for your second answer) According to official brownes, Mazi economic policies appeared to have been successful Propaganda suggested an timproved Backed of the state of backy converses However, in reality, the policies were unable to achieve a higher standard of living, economic growth, or bernan their self-suthiciency by 1939 to prepare for war, making them overall, unsaccessful

One reason why Nozi conounic policies seemed was the ostensible success of this rob-creation schemes such as the construction of the Autobaka Official statistics claimed that unemployment had dropped how ~ 6 million in 1933 to 0.1 million in 1939, suggesting improved standards of Uning Honever, these tigneres were achieved through extreme nanipulation of statistics, excluding women and Jews from those considered unemployed, whilst are recording temporary jobs that time employment. Therefore despite that this sper superbicialté success, hidden unemployment continued under the Unis, at an estimated 5 willow. On had hand, the there NSDAP was able to decrease

(This page is for your second answer) wremployment to a lesser extent through reasonament and the introduction of conscription in the mid-1930s, which dod lead to a limited increase in standards of living. However, this improvement was affect by the decrease in wages and the tothe longer longer us hing the hours, therefore, Nari economic policies mere alle ultinately bailed at improving standards of living and mere therefore unsuccessful in that respect. living strandards were also regatively impacted by the New Plan. Schachts New Plan, introduced in 1934, "decrased living standards and weakened the economy, rendering it a Pailure His attempt to decrease trade defictly by virtually banning imports caused chartages of Bod and other recessities, leading to lower standardy of living It also caused an increase in budget detruit as the government had to purchase the nove expensive domestic alternatives, meakening the economy as the quemment load less money to invest in reducting unendoyment or achieving self-sufficiency. Therefore the New Plan was a faiture as it did not strengthen the economy or improve standards of lining, me meaning that its would be extremely inaccurate to claim Nazi polities were successful, especially as the New Mais successor

met similar benets of success Railure.

Goorning's 4-Year plan aimed to a chircle a German autarky by 1940 to prepare Germany hor war. However, for Hitler never expected complete self-sufficiency as he to believed that they also needed lebensraum to increase their resources. Even with that in mind, Geinary hailed to much the plan's bargets by the end of 1939, meaning that it was unsuccessful as it did not lead to self-sufficiency, which is evidenced by the fact that most of Hothirs generals adviced him against going to war in 1939 as they mare not prepared . Therefore Nazi economic policies mere unsuccessful to a great extent as they drd not achieve self-subbriency. However, the 4-Year Plan was successful in other respects. In order to achieve the targets, the government enc began encouraging women to join the workhorce in 1936, leading to the decrease in uncufleyment as women's replaced the constrate in the industry grew the none men more conscripted. The increase in unemployment contributed to minor somewhat improving standards of to living, therefore the policy was successful to a limited extent. Additionally, while they bailed to reach the tagets, acuman industrial autput did increase agenti significantly as It in some cases, such as with

(This page is for your second answer) the steel production, they were extremely close to reaching their goals. Therefore the policy me also experienced limited success in strengthening the economy of it increased industrial output. 57

In terms of increasing self-subliciency, the Nazis also experienced some success despite not reaching their targets as the 4-Year Plan led to increased innostments in bonding. Synthetic etter alternatives to raw materials unavailable in because. The government was extremely successful in some cases, such is the production of synthetic cubber, however these neasures more evidently insublicitient as even by the 1140s, bernany was still importing 1/3 of their raw materials and therefore their economic policies mere ultinately unsuccessful as they delas did not create and autarky.

Overall, the Dazis economic policies more the as despite the appearance of the the movies rapidly reducing unemployment and increasing self-sufficiency, their policies to kurther neakened the economy by worsening the budget deficit and decreasing standards of living due to shortages and hidden unemployment. Any real successes were at the state of the the outweight by the long term bailune of any

(This page is for your second answer) policies A creat Living economic a rowth CA. non Therefore my it would spinika economic poliz Dazi alle 11118411689

• Question 4 was not answered by many candidates and some chose to ignore the key issue of the conquest of Poland and focus on other reasons for the genocide of Jewish people. Higher scoring candidates did try to balance the impact of the conquest of Poland with other factors. It is important to note that the question date range was 1939-45, as some candidates went back to the 1920s and also referenced the early and middle years of the 1903s.